

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 16258/2006

SAMBHAVANA

...Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Sinha, Adv.

Versus

DELHI UNIVERSITY and ORS.

...Respondents

Through: Ms. Maninder Acharya, Adv. for Delhi University.

Ms. Manisha Singh and Ms. Ritika Nagpal, Advs. for R-13

Mr. Akshay Chandra, Adv. for Mr. Neeraj Chaudhari, CGSC for R-59 and 60.

Mr. Amitesh Kumar and Mr. Mayank Kumar, Advs. for UGC.

Ms. Beenashaw N. Soni, Adv. for R-2, 11, 22, 54.

Mr. R.P. Sharma, Adv. for R-31.

Dr. Aurobindo Ghose, Adv. for R-4, 12, 36 and 79.

Mr. Rajinder Dhawan, Adv. for R-33, 38, 65, 66, 73, 74 and 77.

Mr. Amit Kumar and Mr. Piyush Kaushik, Adv. for R-41.

Mr. S.S. Ahluwalia, Adv. for R-75.

Mr. M.K. Singh, Adv. for R-23 and 81.

Mr. Nitinjya Chaudhry, Adv. for R-28 and 82.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

14.12.2012

In response to the status report filed by respondent no. 1 University, the petitioner has filed a rejoinder stating that the information disclosed by the constituent colleges which is the basis of the report is not fully correct. Learned counsel for respondent no. 1- university states that they have put these aspects to the colleges and have elicited their response. It is, however, not in dispute that some of the colleges are repeated defaulters in complying with the directions of the Court. Learned counsel for the University states that there are five such colleges, viz., Institute of Home Economics, Jesus & Mary College, Lady Irwin College, Sri Guru Gobind Singh College of Commerce and Sri Venkeshwara College.

The University may serve a last notice on these colleges to show compliance failing which the University should forward the names of these colleges to the UGC for appropriate action to stop their grant.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1-University states that she will also examine as to how the Monitoring Committee can be made more effective and whether, at least, one of its members can for the time being give exclusive time to this committee so that the implementation is completed.

List for directions on 15.03.2013.

CM No. 11400/2012 (Directions)

Let notice issue to respondent No. -1 University, which is accepted by learned counsel.

Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder be filed within two weeks thereafter.

In order to ensure transparency it is directed that in the main time respondent No. -1 University and other affiliated colleges as also all respondents herein will keep their roster in public domain displayed on their website. This order should be complied with within four weeks.

List on 15.03.2013.